

Program Listing for La Verne

 $\begin{array}{ccc} \text{Agenda Item} & 2\!8 \\ \text{Attachment} & 1 \end{array}$

Page 1
Date Printed
August 25,2000

Diversion Program Name	*Prior to SRRE	SRRE Selected	1997 Status	1998 Status
Source Reduction				
Xeriscaping/Grasscycling	Yes	Yes	Х	X
Backyard and On-Site Composting/Mulching	No	Yes	Χ	X
Business Waste Reduction Program	Yes	Yes	X	X
Procurement	Yes	Yes	X	X
Government Source Reduction Programs	No	Yes	Χ	X
Material Exchange, Thrift Shops	Yes	Yes	X	X
Recycling				
Residential Curbside	Yes	Yes	X	. X
Residential Drop-Off	Yes	Yes	Х	X
Residential Buy-Back	Yes	Yes	Х	Х
Commercial On-Site Pickup	Yes	Yes	Х	X
Government Recycling Programs	Yes	Yes	X	X
Special Collection Seasonal (regular)	Yes	Yes	X	X
Composting				
Residential Curbside Greenwaste Collection	No	Yes	X	X
Special Waste Materials				
Tires	Yes	Yes	X	Х
White Goods	Yes	Yes	X	Х
Scrap Metal	Yes	Yes	X	Х
Wood Waste	Yes	Yes	X	Х
Concrete/Asphalt/Rubble	Yes	Yes	X	X

X = indicates programs being implemented

ny blank status code above could be one or more of the following:

- Dropped
- Selected and Not Implemented
- Planned Future

^{*} Existing prior to SRRE (Source Reduction Recycling Element)



Program Listing for La Verne

Page 2
Date Printed
August 25,2000

Diversion Program Name	*Prior to SRRE	SRRE Selected	1997 Status	1998 Status
Public Education				
Electronic (radio ,TV, web, hotlines)	No	Yes	Х	Х
Print (brochures, flyers, guides, news articles)	Yes	Yes	X	Х
Outreach (tech assistance, presentations, awards, fairs, field trips)	No	Yes	Х	X
Schools (education and curriculum)	No	Yes	X	X
Policy Incentives				
Product and Landfill Bans	No	Yes		
Economic Incentives	No	Yes	Х	Х
Ordinances	No	Yes	X	X
Facility Recovery				
Alternative Daily Cover	Yes	Yes	X	X

X = indicates programs being implemented

Any blank status code above could be one or more of the following:

- Dropped
- Selected and Not Implemented
- Planned Future

* Existing prior to SRRE (Source Reduction Recycling Element)



Jurisdictional SRRET Frogram Summary
Household Hazardous Waste (HHW)
Selected Year(s): 1997, 1998

Page 1
Date Printed
August 31,2000

Jurisdiction	Year	HH- PMF	HH- MPC	HH- CSC	HH- WSE	ED#	₩ HTO
La Verne	1997	×	×	×	×	×	
	1998						

Legend: HH-PMF Permanan Fa illity
HH-MPC Mobile of Fatiodic Collection
HH-CSC Curbsida Cathor
HH-WGE Washe Extrange
HH-DDP Edicator is grams
HH-CTH Other HHW

. ,

To request a correction to or substitution for a previously approved base-year amount used in calculating the diversal for your jurisdiction, please complete and sign this form and return it to your Office of Local Assistance (OLA) representative at the address below, along with any additional information requested by OLA staff. When all documentation has been received, your OLA representative will work with you to prepare for your appearance before. If you have any questions about this process, please call (916) 255-2555 to be connected to your OLA representative.

Maii completed documents to:

California Integrated Waste Management Board Office of Local Assistance, MS 8 8800 Cal Center Drive Sacramento CA 95826

General Instructions:

Please select the ONE choice below that best explains y All respondents must complete Section I and either S	ection (I A or 1)	B' 92 vuorec	L.		
1. Correct our existing Board-approved base-year Section I and Section II A.)					•
 2. Use a recent generation-based study to substite amount, but not officially change our existing Board-B.) 	approved base	year. (Pie	55 6	COMPIECE C	
☑ 3. Use a recent generation-based study to official year. (Please complete Section I and Section II B.)	ily change our (3oard-appro	ovec	existing t	ase year to a ne
Section i: Jurisdiction information and Certific All respondents must complete this section:					
I certify under penalty of perjury that the information in and that I am authorized to make this certification on b	this document ehalf of:	is true and	com	ect to the b	est of my knowle
Jurisdiction Name		County			
La Verne		Los Angeles			
Authorized Signature		Title		•	
1 Chi lis mond		Managemen	t Ans	ulyst	· .
Type/Print Name of Person Signery	Date			Phone	•
Jeannette Vagnozzi	March 13, 2000			(909) 596-8	741
Person Completing This Form (please print or type)	Title			Phone	
Eugene Tseng	Principal Investig	ator .		(818) 889-8	628)
Mailing Address	City		Sta		ZIP Code
City of La Verne, 3880 D Street	La Verne		CA		91750

D1. Curren	nt Board-approved base-year:		ist complete this section. Ich response to the appropriate cell number (e.g.
1990	•		B2. Proposed new generation-based study year:
B2 to the o			2000
cos. 15 the C	proposed generation study yea	r representative of averag	e annual jurisdiction disposal and diversion patterns?
B4. Please a	piect the ONE et al.		
	select the ONE choice below that be	est explains your diversion d	te.
All diver	sion tonnage claimed is from a 100	Derront qualit 45.81	on) of all available diversion programs.
DO Some	diversion data were estimated or ex-	transisted for	on) of all svallable diversion programs
Evimodolio	a Discourt	maporared from representativ	on) of all available diversion programs. • sampling. (Explain amount and method in detail below.)
This method	g Diversion Tons from Audits of	f Businesses to Com-	> detail below.)
et seq.	is consistent with Title 14. Cali	ifornia Code of Regulation	e sampling. (Explain amount and method in detail below.) al/Industrial Accounts (not including self-haul) al/Industrial Accounts (not including self-haul) al/Industrial Accounts (not including self-haul)
Calculation [Details Sheet	•	States, Section 18
Section R Co	ammanial and t		
Existing Corr	nmercial and Industrial Sector	Summary	
1	Treatment and Interventing a	nd Source Posture	= 22,224,04
This comes	from Commercial Generalism	-	- 62,229,04
1	Constantion (4	13,153.48) minus Commen	Cial Disposal by Hauter and and
[Commercial	Generation comes from Ca-	nonlat Se	= 22,224.04 cial Disposal by Hauler (20,929.44)] 1- Commercial Diversion Rate from Audits (20,929.44 /
100% - 51.59	% = 43,153.48)]	ercal Disposal divided by	1- Commercial Discourses Days and St.
	-14	•	Outside Diversion Rate from Audits (20,929.44/
22,224.04 - di	iversion identified by audite a 20	20 00 - 40	on tonnage not identified by audits, since not all of the
City's busines	ses were audited)	70.63 = 13,927.22 (diversion	On tonnage not identified to a series
7	•		of the
The audits sho	owed that 11.4% of the diversion	n come for-	
are istes file	I now can be extrapolated to the	n breinesses and	tion practices and 40.1% came from recycling. (These
i ahi aseui sii ye	sample).	occuresses not audited t	Decause the rates came from a "electric".
Extranolated	4		statistically
businesses/	ource reduction = 11.4% (source	2 reduction rate of sudian	businesses) + 51.5 % (diversion rate for audited
= 22.1%	•	The Ci audited	Dusinesses) + 51.5 % (diversion rate for sudited
.221 x 13.927	22 (unaccounted for commercia		the second secon
,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	- (unaccounted for commercia	diversion tonnage) = 3 no	77 M Acres
			7.82 tons
.779 x 13,927.2	22 = 10,849.30 tons	%	
when the extra	polated diversion is added to the	• alba t	
tonnage diverte	polated diversion is added to the discourse reduction and recycle:	e diversion identified by th	e audits, we now have the total commercial / industrial dentified during the audits
1 a Varra Dall I		can guaragupon the rate i	dentified during the audite
2 803 employee	of a total possible of 157 busines	300 = 67 E W -4 L	
•	of a total possible of 157 busines as were included in survey samp ear generation study was requir	red by the CRAMP Compli	07.0 % of employees were included in suprove
VVSCTA FAMILIANIAN		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
extrapolated only	V to the havier cond	lucted in a statistically rand	form (stratified town to
program and the	City of La Verne has accounted.	The self haul business we	Me not included The results
commercial and	industrial accounts in the City a	d approximately 100 on-sit ecording to the Franchised	dom (stratified large/small) way and the results are not included. The audit program is an ongoing technical assistance audits. There are only 157 Hauter (exclusive)
A summary of the	e study is included.		(watesive)
The Department	of Conservation, Division of Rec	ere _{re} ,	
•	Parison validit, Division of Rec	cycling data are also includ	ded.
	•		

Diversion Program	Tons	Type of Record	Location of Data
lesidential Recycling	1507.07	Facility Weigh Tickets	Hauler (WM)
AB 20/20	79.34	DOC reports	Department of Conservation
Actual Business reported diversion (surveys)	8296.83	Business surveys/audits	UCLA Extension
Extrapolated recycling diversion	10,849.30	Calculated from audits	UCLA Extension
Extrapolated source reduction	3,077.92	Calculated from audits	UCLA Extension
Christmas trees (County)	38.37	Facility Weigh Tickets	LA County/City of La Verne
City Recycling/Public Works	2,155.62	Facility Weigh Tickets	City of La Verne
Alternative Daily Cover (ADC)	267.97	Weigh Tickets by Landfill	LA County DRS
Diverted Landfill Salvage	38,532.02	Weigh Tickets by Landfill	LA County DRS
			·
·			

B6.	Please select the ONE choice below that best explains your disposal data and complete the required tables.
	a. All tons claimed are from the Board's Disposal Reporting System (1995 and after). (No explanation required. Skip to B9.)
	b. All tons claimed are from a 100 percent audit of hauler and self-haul tonnage (pre-1995). (Please complete B7 and then skip to B9.)
	c. Some Disposal Reporting System data were corrected. (Please skip to B8.)

B7. If you chose "b" in B6, list the disposal data records that support your claim and are available for Board audit in the table below. Include type of record and location; for example, weigh tickets from transfer station.

Source of Disposal	Tons	Type of Record	Location of Data
]	
			•
	-		

B8. If you chose "c" in B6, list the disposal data records that support your claim and are available for Board audit in the table below. Explain tonnage amount, correction method used, and correct owner of disputed tonnage in detail.

•	1995		4006	Other Very
20. Cites you diversion rates in the table below.				
B9. Enter your diversion rates in the table below.		·		, 3.5. 3
				,
		1		•
	·	1	•	
		i	•	
	i	i i		

Current enlawleded at	1995		1996	Other Year: (please specify)	1998
Current calculated diversion rate:	%	b.	%	(pieces obsaily)	
Proposed diversion rate:	c. %	d.	%	49.	4

B10. If the proposed base-year generation tonnage correction results in an increase in either your 1995 or 1996 waste diversion rate, please explain how the diversion rate is consistent with your diversion implementation efforts.

The CIWMB calculated diversion rate using the Adjustment Method is not representative of the City's diversion rate. The Adjustment Method gives a negative diversion rate (-86%). The City has implemented a number of programs. In the original base year SRRE/SWGS, the recycling and waste reduction diversion implemented by the businesses were not quantified. In Addition, the self haul was not adequately accounted for. The City has worked with its franchised hauler (Waste Management/USA Waste) over two years to gather the data to complete the new base year generation study as part of a business technical assistance effort.

The City of La Verne and Waste Management/USA Waste has worked closely with UCLA Extension to pilot many of the waste reduction and recycling audit protocols and statistical methods.

The City's largest franchise hauler has made a long term commitment to continuing the on-site business waste reduction and recycling technical assistance program. The data and diversion measurement accuracy will only improve.

B11. If the difference between the proposed diversion rates in B9c and B9d or B9d and B9e is greater than 5 percentage points, please explating reasons for the difference in the rates. (For example: program implementation or data errors.)

The primary reason is for data errors from the base year combined with Disposal Reporting year errors. The origina SWGS and SRRE did not track the self haul and they did not quantify commercial/industrial diversion that existed in the City in 1990.

• •

City of La Verne New Base Year Generation Study

June 2000

.

.

.

·

÷.

.

City of La Verne 1998 New Base Year Generation Study and Annual Report

Table of Contents

Base Year Modification Request Certification

New Base Year Generation Study

Summary .

Calculation Details

Hauler Accounts Only (No Self Haul) Estimate of Commercial and Industrial Sector Recycling Only Applied to Hauler Accounts (No Self Haul)

Jurisdiction Disposal by Facility / 1999 Diversion Rate Measurement Calculation (DRMC) Sheet

Waste Reduction and Recycling Audit Summary

City of La Verne Waste Reduction and Recycling Audit Report

Hauler Data

Diverted / Salvaged by LA County Landfills

LA County Disposal Reporting System

Christmas Tree Recycling

City of La Verne Diversion Programs

PARIS

• **`** .

Base Year Modification Request Certification

New Base Year Generation Study Summary

Calculation Details

Hauler Accounts Only (No Self Haul) Estimate of Commercial and Industrial Sector Recycling Only Applied to Hauler Accounts (No Self Haul)

• . • •

	La Verne eneration Study Summary 1998-1999	
·		
Α	Residential Sector Summary	1998 Tons
	Residential Disposal Tonnage	16,8
	Residential Diversion Tonnage	11,5
	Residential Generation Tonnage	28,3
	Residential Diversion Rate	40
В	Commercial and Industrial Sector Summary	
	Commercial and Industrial Disposal Tonnage	49,5
	Commercial and Industrial Diversion Tonnage	53,3
	Commercial and Industrial Generation Tonnage	102,90
	Commercial and Industrial Diversion Rate	51
С	Overall 1998 Jurisdiction Summary	
	1998 Disposal Tonnage	66,36
	1998 Diversion Tonnage	64,85
	1998 Generation Tonnage	131,22
D	1998 City of La Verne Diversion Rate	49
		June

`` .

ty of	La Verne	
w G	eneration Study 1998	
icul	ation Details	
		1998 Tons
<u> </u>	Residential Sector Summary	
-	Residential Disposal (Franchise Hauler Data)	16,812.
	Residential Recycling (Franchise Hauler Data)	1,560.0
	AB 2020 Recycling (Department of Conservation)	79.:
	Christmas Tree Recycling Program (deducted from Residential Recycling)	38.3
	Residential Generation Tonnage	18,490.0
	Residential Diversion Tonnage	1,677.
	Residential Diversion Rate	9.1
В	Commercial and Industrial Sector Summary	
	Commercial and Industrial Disposal (see attached sheet)	49,553.3
	City Programs (e.g., Public Works road resurfacing, etc.)	2,155.6
	Existing Commercial / Industrial Recycling and Source Reduction	22,224.0
	Commercial and Industrial Generation Tonnage	73,933.0
	Commercial and Industrial Diversion Tonnage	24,379.6
	Commercial and Industrial Diversion Rate	33.0
С	Diversion Reported by County Disposal Reporting System	
	Alternative Daily Cover Tonnage (DRS)	267.9
	Salvaged/Recycled Tonnage (self haul, hauler clean C & D rolloffs, etc.)	38,532.0
	County Landfill ADC / Recycled Diversion Tonnage	38,799.9
D	Allocation of County DRS Reported Diversion to City Sectors	
	Residential Sector Diversion Allocation (%)	25.39
	Commercial and Industrial Sector Diversion Allocation (%)	
	Residential Sector Diversion Allocation Tonnage	74.7° 9,829.3
·	Commercial and Industrial Sector Diversion Allocation Tonnage	28,970.6
E	Overall 1998 Jurisdiction Summary	
	1998 Disposal Tonnage	66,366.2
	1998 Diversion Tonnage	64,857.4
	1998 Generation Tonnage	131,223.6
	1998 Diversion Rate	49.49

,

City of La	Verne	
1998 New	Generation Study	
Estimate	of Commercial and Industrial Sector Recycling	
Only App	lied to Hauler Accounts (NO SELF HAUL)	
Calculation	Detail	
·		
	Description	Tonnage
	Jurisdiction Total Disposal (1998 Disposal Reporting System Tonnage)	66,366.24
	Disaster Related Disposal (see attachments for documentation)	0.00
	Corrected Disposal Tonnage for 1998	66,366.24
В	Residential Disposal Tonnage, Exclusive Franchise Hauler	. 16,812.85
С	Commercial and Industrial Disposal by Franchise Hauler	20,929.44
	Confinercial and industrial disposal by Franchise Fradier	20,020.44
D	Commercial and Industrial Disposal Tonnage (Self Haul)	28,623.95
E	Diversion Rate from AUDITS OF HAULER ACCOUNTS	51.5%
	(Diversion Study attached)	
F	Commercial and Industrial Generation Tonnage (HAULER ACCOUNTS)	43,153.48
	(C/(100% - E))	
G	Commercial and Industrial Diversion Tonnage (HAULER ACCOUNTS)	22,224.04
	(F - C)	
Calculation	n Summary Without ADC and Landfill Salvage Allocation	
Н	Commercial and Industrial Disposal Tonnage	49,553.39
<u> </u>	Commercial and Industrial Diversion Tonnage (without self haul diversion)	22,224.04
J	Commercial and Industrial Generation Tonnage	71,777.43

• •

Waste Reduction and Recycling Audit Summary

,

"Statistically Representative" Sampling Coverage

Waste Management of San Gabriel / Pomona Valley is the exclusive franchise hauler in the City of La Verne. The City of La Verne is proposed a community with limited businesses. The single largest "business" is the University of La Verne. Waste Management has 157 commercial / industrial business accounts.

The waste reduction and recycling audit program covered 101 businesses. This is almost 66% of the businesses and included the University.

9 Waste	erne Reduction and Rec	ycling Audit Analysis	Total	Additional	Total	Add. Poten.	Disposal
			Exist Recy.	Poten. Recy.	ExistS. Red.	S. Reduction	tons/yr
ber	Name of Company	Type of Business	Diversion (Ib/yr)	(lb/yr)	Diversion (lb/yr)	(lb/yr)	(calculated
		Misc Retail	0.00	10,400.00	0.00	1,628.40	20
		Retail Carpet	0.00	92,834.60	30.00	2.040.10	26 15
		Flight training/aircraft rental Repair/sell printers/carts	84.00	4,484.00 533.40	10,666.00	0.00	15
		University Food Syc	22,132.00	14,014.00	2,678.00	2.184.00	60
		Computer Monitor Assembly	57,600.00	14,789.12	629.74	17,368.00	15
		Equipment Rental/Leasing	0.00	8,584.16	4.75	130.00	124
		Bakery/Deli	0.00	8,824.16	1,936.32	0.00	54
		ice Cream Shop	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	20
0		Fabricated Metal Products	145.60	27,248.00	25,911.60	52.00	11
		Fabricated Metal Products	416.00	33,10	3,900.00	260.00	
2		Chemical Mfg	5,200.00	334.60	9,613.06	1,248.00	7
3		Misc. Retail	456,040.00	3,721.10	1,450.80	24.05	78
4		Video Rental	0.00	9,420.00	0.00	0.00	10
5		Termite control	0.00	151.00	0.00	99.60	21
6		Horne for disabled adults	98.80	1,031.22	0.00	4,320.00	
7		Leasing	0.00			0.00	
8		Special Trade Contractor	208,364.00	16,183.44	0.00	301.20	. 19
9		Restaurant	0.00			74.00	3
0		Landscaping	664.00			0.00	31
1		Church/School	344.76			49.92	4
2		Agricultural Plastic Mfg	2,080.00	23,540.00		0.00	313
3		Retail Garden Furniture	1,800.00				2
5		Chamber of Commerce	447.44			570.00 0.00	1
4		Military Research	7 274 90			2.080.00	
6		Spring Mfg Restaurant	7,274.90			2,080.00	24
7		Church/School	812.00			0.00	1
8		Veterinarian	60.00				1
9		Retail Clothing	0.00				1
0		Plumbing Valve Mfg	2,797.04				8
1		Machining Valve Wilg	14.766.96				
2		Plant Nursery	2.000.00				1
3		Plastic Mfg.	1.645.60				
5		High School	67,664.76				16
5		Stone Contractor	60,000.00				5
7		Mig. Hydraulic Valves	432.00				
8		Electrical for Airplanes	416.00			9,669.96	1
9		Tool Manufacturing	416.00	15,612.00			
0		Fabric Importer	361.60	422.00			
1		Fire Suppression Camp	0.00				
2		Pottery Products	214,046.00				
3		Medical Supplies	9,024.00				
4		Aircraft Maintenance/Sales	0.00				
5		Plumbing	9,745.00				
6		Fabric Store	40.32				
7		Restaurant	13,520.00		400.00	40 400 00	
8		Car Wash	312.00				
19		County Library	3,677.00				
50		Roller Rink	28,174.20				
51		City Hall/Public Wrks	5,081.88				
52		Parks & Recreation/Maintenance	77,100.00				
53		Boys Home/School Air conditioning installer	56,452.80				
54		Restaurant	4,179.45				
55		Plastic Injection Molding	19,200.00				
56		Department Store	497,580.80				
57		HVAC Installation	24.00		0.01	150.00	
58 59		Water District/Govt. Agency	165,508.88				
60		Retail Clothing	15,600.00	224.00	0.00	0.00	
61		Bakery	0.00	416.00			
62		Shipping	600.00				
63		Computer Systems	0.00				
64		Engineering	116.80				
65		Plastic Mfg	22,374.40				
66		Restaurant	111,936.00				
67		Office Supplies	101,503.00				
68		Chair Mfg.	139,736.00				
69		Plastic Pipe/Fittings Distr.	346.3				
70		Injection mold mfg.	2,200.00				_
71		Paper Mfg.	1,040,000.0				
72		Automotive Retail	41,631.20				
73		Auto Sales	48,432.0	72.0	6,500.0	JU.UU	* !

75	Print Shop	8.744.06	2,600.00	1,501.54	0.00	15.60
76	Marine Products	802,624.00	24.00	11,034.28	0.00	· 7.28
77	Drug Store	0.00	30,287.60	22,850.00	0.00	46.80
78	Bindery	639,336.00	1,180.00	0.00	100.00	15.60
79	Drug Store	737,943.12	3.00	4,160.00	1,052.00	15.60
80	Food Equipmt Distr.	4,104.88	96.77	312.00	0.00	16.38
81	Senior Apartments	2.70	8,140.00	0.01	0.00	62.40
81	Golf Course Restaurant	14,596.00	1,248.00	2,135.00	156.00	36,40
82	Golf Course Maint.	6,340.00	90.00	135,907.00	484.02	19.50
83	Retail Floor Covering	2,200,640.00	4,235.40	0.78	0.00	78.00
84	Computer Hardware	2.080.00	3.051.60	48,605.88	0.00	3.47
85	Metal Plate Mfg.	324,706,00	0.00	2,096,36	0.00	187.20
86	Misc. Retail	375,873,28	7,485.44	4,800.00	24.00	192.00
87	Metal Furniture Mfg.	24,000.00	3,078.00	7,200.00	0.00	1.95
88	Restaurant	41,600.00	2,640.00	0.00	40.00	6.24
89	Grocery Store	3,578,640.00	0.00	10,005.64	2.60	39.00
90	Church	166.40	8,231.60	1,300.00	0.00	7.80
91	Bookstore	38,729.60	26,810.00	1,230.00	5,108.00	10.40
92	Purchasing	61,572.00	20,400.00	40.00	195.00	10.95
93	Admissions	1,864.40	1,560.00	958.00	3.96	10.95
94	Library	1,368.40	290.00	10,319.80	0.00	10.95
95	Maintenance	140,868.00	225,664.80	500.00	48.00	27.73
96	Machine Shop	2,496.00	0.00	2,128.00	1,148.00	7.80
97	Electronics	3,473.60	588.00	21,248.20	14,150.00	7.80
98	Wholesale Grower	150.00	0.00	0.00	960.00	26.00
99	Retail Music	0.00	3,364.80	0.00	0.00	1.73
100	Printing Machines	27,695.50	78,050.00	0.00	96.00	57.20
101	Manufacturing	16,018.00	21,928.40	490.00	260.00	10.40
		6,625.16	1,466.12	1,841.27	94.85	7,808.17
	·					-
	Annual Tons Reported by Audited La Verne Businesses	16,274.61				
	Restricted Materials to be Deleted from Diversion	169.61		3.76		
	AB 939 Annual Diversion Tons Reported by La Verne Businesses	16,105.00		1,837.51		
	Annual Tons Disposed	7,808.17	48.5%			
L	Annual Tons Diverted by Source Reduction	1,837.51	11.4%			
	Annual Tons Diverted by Recycling	6,459.31	40.1%			
	Total Annual Tons Generated	16,105.00	100.0%			
	Total AB 939 Annual Tons Diverted	8,296.83				
	Estimated Diverson Rate for Audited Businesses	51.5%				

The following audit sheets were requested by Malinda Hall. Main reason for high diversion rates provided.

Explanation 520 bales of OCC @ 850 lb.each, 5,200 pallets/yr @ 40 lb. ea.,	
Explana 520 bal	
Audit # #13)

reusable crates @ 1,372 lb/yr.

5200 pallets/yr

#18

Grasscycling tonnage re-calculated. Original report used incorrect formula. #20

Should be 444,880 lb./yr.; greenwaste diverted for composting 45 tpy.

#22 5,200 pallets/yr diverted

#42 5,200 pallets/yr diverted

Site has 18 buildings. 2,600 lb/yr OCC recycled, 74,500 lb/yr grease, #53

donations 7,800, grasscycing 5,662.8 lb/yr

#63 4,800 pallets/yr

Other high diversion is poly bales at 1,040,000 lb/yr per E. Tseng. Pallets diverted at 32,000/yr (1,280,000 lb/yr) Original audit included paper feedstock in diversion. This has been excluded in current report. #71

OCC 802,560 lb/yr, pallets 4,100 lb/yr, paper source reduction 1,040 lb/yr 9/#

Pallets 6,240 lb/yr, recycled carpet padding 2,194,400 lb/yr #83

City of La Verne Waste Reduction and Recycling Audit Report March 2000

Introduction

Waste reduction and recycling audits were conducted in the commercial and industrial sector of the City of La Verne. These audits were conducted to achieve the following:

1) collect data for a new generation study

- provide on-site waste reduction and recycling technical assistance to businesses in the City of La Verne
- 3) quantify and evaluate current diversion programs implemented by businesses
- 4) identify exemplary programs for CIWMB WRAP Program (year 2000)
- quantify and assist businesses in implementation of additional waste reduction and recycling programs
- develop an ongoing infrastructure to support a commercial / industrial sector technical assistance program

Additionally, these audits were conducted to evaluate potential diversion programs that can be implemented by the City of La Verne and/or Waste Management (the franchise hauler).

Six auditors, Edward Aguirre, Albert Hernandez, Grace Lee, Gilberto Ramirez, Tess da Silva, and Rosalind Risser Yasui, conducted the waste reduction and recycling audits under the direction of Dr. Eugene Tseng. Ms. Rosalind Yasui served as the project manager. The commercial and industrial sector sampling plan and technical methodology were implemented in August 1999 and the audits were conducted from January to March 2000.

Out of a total of 157 commercial and industrial accounts, 101 business sites were audited. The auditors offered recommendations for reducing waste to the businesses, as well as printed literature regarding waste reduction and recycling practices specific to their needs. The literature was provided by the California Integrated Waste Management Board (CIWMB), California Environmental Protection Agency.

The audit methodology utilizes the "80/20" rule, which states that 80 % of the wastestream is created by 20 % of the largest businesses. The City provided a business license database that included the number of employees at the businesses. This database was utilized to determine the largest businesses within the City, so that they would be the first priority for auditing. Business owners and managers were contacted individually for an audit appointment and the audits were executed in person.

The survey methodology utilized in the study was based on CIWMB and US EPA forms. The quantification methodology for estimating source reduction diversion quantities is based upon a US EPA document titled "Business Waste Prevention Quantification Methodologies, Business Users' Guide and Technical Appendices."

Summary of Results

The table below shows the existing diversion quantified from the waste reduction and recycling audit program conducted in the City of La Verne.

City of La Verne					
Summary of Waste Reduction and Recycling Audits					
	Year 2000	Percent of Total			
	Annual Tons	Generation			
Annual Disposal	7,808.17	47.8 %			
Annual Source Reduction Diversion	1,837.51	11.2 %			
Annual Recycling Diversion	6,794.56	41.3 %			
Total Diversion (Audited Businesses Only)	8,632.08	52.5 %			
Annual Generation (Audited Businesses Only)	16,440.25	100 %			

The 52.5 % diversion rate is based upon the CIWMB formula of Diversion as a proportion of Total Generation. It does not include diversion of AB 2494 "restricted" materials and hazardous wastes (e.g., oil, etc.). Generation is comprised of Disposal plus Diversion. This diversion rate does not include any businesses that self-haul.

For the businesses audited, the waste reduction and recycling auditors assessed additional recycling and source reduction that could reasonably be implemented, such as returning toner cartridges to be refilled or using both sides of a sheet of paper before recycling it. The audit data indicate that an additional 1,466.12 tons of materials could be diverted from the waste stream by recycling. Findings also indicate that another 94.85 tons of materials could be diverted by the implementation of source reduction activities.

Recycling makes up 41.3 % of the commercial and industrial diversion. Source reduction accounts for 11.2 % of the diversion, for a total diversion rate of 52.5 %.

The auditors found that out of 101 businesses, 10 have franchise hauler (Waste Management) recycling bins. (Seven businesses have cardboard recycling bins, 1 has a glass recycling bin, and 1 has a greenwaste bin.) The University of La Verne has 2 cardboard and 2 white paper recycling bins. Four of the audited businesses bale their cardboard.

Conclusion

The City of La Verne has several recycling programs that are not documented in their Source Reduction and Recycling Element. One program is an informal agreement with a local paper product manufacturer to utilize about 2,000 pounds of paper waste a year from City Hall. Another program in which the City participates, is an annual recycling seminar, that is taught entirely by high school students. The City provides bus transportation for high school science students to go to elementary schools and teach the younger students about environmental issues.

There are a number of mobile home parks in La Verne which have initiated their own recycling programs. When they were contacted, however, the property managers declined to participate in this waste and recycling study, so no data were collected.

Most La Verne businesses dispose of cardboard in their trash bin. Cardboard scavenging is fairly uncommon and not encouraged. Telephone book recycling is available to all businesses if they set out the books the morning of the residential pick up (Monday), but few businesses take advantage of this.

La Verne is home to the University of La Verne, a 32-acre campus with 1,200 undergraduate students. Because of their excellent waste reduction programs, the University is being studied further. The case study analysis will be conducted over the next three to four months by the project team mentioned above.

In order to track the demolition material generated by the 210 freeway expansion project, Cal Trans was contacted a number of times during the first week of February. The contract manager, Bill Kent (213/897-1916), said that he was unfamiliar with the City's policy of requiring contractors in charge of construction and demolition (C & D) sites to have bins for on-site separation of recyclable materials. When asked to estimate the generation and diversion tonnage of freeway-related C & D materials, Mr. Kent stated that they landfill 100% of the material. Rosalind Yasui conveyed this information to Maria Tipping, engineer and consultant for the City of Claremont and City of La Verne freeway expansion project.

Of the 101 audited businesses, 18 were found to be potential WRAP Award recipients. These businesses requested assistance from the auditors with submitting an application, due to the technical nature of the application. The WRAP application deadline is the end of June, therefore assistance should be provided to these businesses during May and June 2000.

Over twenty businesses requested follow-up assistance from the auditors in order to increase diversion of a variety of materials. This type of assistance should be provided on an on-going basis to businesses within the City of La Verne.

The technical assistance program is being offered to every business in the City. Waste Management has offered a waste reduction and recycling technical assistance audit to any company that requests the service. In addition, an ongoing, permanent technical assistance program is being developed in conjunction with other neighboring cities for the commercial / industrial sector in the region. Waste Management is also working with Dr. Tseng in developing a regional waste reduction and recycling resource guide to be available on the Internet.

As part of the waste reduction and recycling program, companies in the City of La Verne that have exemplary practices were asked to participate as a "peer match model" and be documented as a case study for their specific industry. The documentation will be made available as a resource on the Internet.

Program Implementation Updates and Recommendations

- 1) The City and the hauler have negotiated a new contract which will include:
 - a. a variable can rate (pay as you throw system)
 - b. expansion of the existing residential recycling program to allow for fully automated service for trash, recycling and greenwaste
 - c. expansion of single stream automated recycling using 96 gallon receptacles. These changes should yield an increase in the amount of recyclable material collected.
- 2) The City / hauler / UCLA are coordinating a waste reduction and recycling workshop for local businesses. Those businesses that have been identified as leaders in their recycling and waste reduction endeavors will be invited to discuss their programs. Additional information will be provided to teach businesses how to reduce their waste hauling costs and the consumption of natural resources.
- 3) The City should provide a Recycling Resource Guide for businesses that gives references to local recycling facilities and tips for reducing the waste stream. This Guide should be periodically updated and made available to the community in order to facilitate reuse, source reduction, and recycling.
- 4) The City will identify local business waste reduction and recycling programs that should be acknowledged by the City for their outstanding effort. Assistance shall be provided to potential candidates for the CIWMB's Waste Reduction Award Program (WRAP) for the year 2000.